

Bounds on the roots of the Steiner Polynomial

Madeleine Jetter

February 2, 2009

Abstract

We consider the Steiner polynomial of a C^2 convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Denote by $\rho_{\min}$ the minimum value of the principal radii of curvature of ∂K and by $\rho_{\max}$ their maximum. When $n \leq 5$, the real parts of the roots are bounded above by $-\rho_{\min}$ and below by $-\rho_{\max}$. These bounds are valid for any n such that all of the roots of the Steiner polynomial of every convex body in $\mathbb{R}^n$ lie in the left half-plane.

1 Introduction

Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a (compact) convex body and let B denote the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We form the outer parallel body $K + tB$ by taking the Minkowski sum of K and a ball of radius $t > 0$, that is:

$$K + tB = \{\vec{v} + t\vec{u} \mid \vec{v} \in K, \vec{u} \in B\}.$$

Thinking of the outer parallel body as the result of the unit-speed outward normal flow applied to K at time t makes it relevant to applied problems such as combustion [1]. The volume of $K + tB$ can be written as a polynomial of degree n , the Steiner polynomial [4]:

$$V_{K+tB} = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} V(K^{n-i}, B^i) t^i$$

where the coefficient $V(K^{n-i}, B^i)$ is the mixed volume of $n-i$ copies of K and i copies of the unit ball. We will adopt the notation $S_K(t) = V_{K+tB}$ for the Steiner polynomial of K in the variable t .

In two dimensions, consideration of the roots of the Steiner polynomial leads to a Bonnesen-style inequality. When K is a convex planar region, with area A_K and perimeter L_K , we have

$$S_K(t) = A_K + L_K t + \pi t^2.$$

Since the discriminant of the Steiner polynomial in two dimensions is $L_K^2 - 4\pi A_K$, we see that the isoperimetric inequality for K is equivalent to the fact

that $S_K(t) = 0$ has (one double or two single) real roots. Moreover, since S_K gives the area of the region $K + tB$, the roots must also be negative when $A_K > 0$.

Furthermore, it is known that

Theorem 1.1. *Let K be a strictly convex region which is not a disc. Let $R_i = \sup\{r \mid \text{a translate of } rB \subset K\}$ be the inradius of K , and let $R_e = \inf\{r \mid \text{a translate of } K \subset rB\}$ be the outradius. Let $\rho_{\min}$ and $\rho_{\max}$ denote the minimum and maximum values of the radius of curvature of K . If the roots of S_K are $t_1 < t_2$, then*

$$-\rho_{\max} < t_1 < -R_e < -\frac{L_K}{2\pi} < -R_i < t_2 < -\rho_{\min}. \quad (1)$$

When K is a disc, then all of the above quantities are equal, giving a version of Bonnesen's inequality. Green and Osher provide a proof in [1].

Teissier [5], working in the setting of ample divisors on algebraic varieties, posed the following problems aimed at generalizing the appealing state of affairs in the planar case. Suppose a convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is given and that the roots of S_K have real parts $r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_n$.

- P1. Is S_K stable (i.e. do all the roots lie in the left half-plane)?
- P2. Let R_i indicate the inradius of K , that is, the largest real number s such that a translate of sB is contained in K . Does the inequality $-R_i \leq r_n$ hold?

By the Routh-Hurwitz stability criterion and the Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequalities [4], we know that S_K is stable for all convex bodies $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ provided that $n \leq 5$. On the other hand, Cifre and Henk construct an example in [2] to show that S_K need not be stable when $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{15}$. Less is known about the inradius bound. However, in those cases where Teissier's first problem has an affirmative answer, we can prove a generalization of the extreme upper and lower bounds in inequality (1) relatively easily.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that in $\mathbb{R}^n$, S_K is stable for every convex $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a C^2 convex body, and suppose that the roots of S_K have real parts $r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_n$. Denote by $\rho_{\min}$ and $\rho_{\max}$ the minimum and maximum values of the principal radii of curvature of K . Then*

$$-\rho_{\max} \leq r_1 \leq \dots \leq r_n \leq -\rho_{\min}.$$

2 Technical Background

2.1 The Steiner Polynomial

A general reference for this section is Schneider's volume [4]. The fundamental tool for what follows is the support function $p_K : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of a convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, defined as follows:

$$p_K(\vec{x}) = \sup\{\vec{x} \cdot \vec{v} \mid \vec{v} \in K\},$$

where $\cdot$ denotes the standard inner product. Because of the homogeneity of the support function, p_K is determined by its restriction to the unit sphere. Thus we frequently treat p_K as a function on S^{n-1} .

A particularly important feature of p_K is the way in which it carries information about the curvature of ∂K when the boundary satisfies certain smoothness conditions. When K (and thus p_K) is C^2 , we consider the Hessian matrix $H(p_K)$. Given $\omega \in S^{n-1}$, we choose a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ where $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for TS_ω^{n-1} and $e_n = \omega$. One can show using homogeneity [4] that the eigenvalues of $H(p_K(\omega))$ computed with respect to this basis are 0 and the principal radii of curvature of K at ω , which we denote $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-1}$. The restriction of the Hessian to TS_ω^{n-1} , which we write as $\overline{H}(p_K(\omega))$, has eigenvalues $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-1}$.

Since the infinitesimal element of area on ∂K is the product of the principal radii of curvature, we may write the volume of K equivalently as

$$V_K = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} p_K \rho_1 \cdots \rho_{n-1} d\omega$$

or

$$V_K = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} p_K \det \overline{H}(p_K) d\omega$$

where $\overline{H}$ denotes the Hessian matrix computed with respect to an orthonormal frame for TS^{n-1} .

Applying this formula to $K + tB$, noting that $p_{K+tB} = p_K + t$, we have

$$S_K = V_{K+tB} = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} (p_K + t) \det (\overline{H}(p_K) + tI) d\omega. \quad (2)$$

The integrand above is a polynomial of degree n in t . We can isolate the coefficient of each t^i using the Minkowski integral formulas ([4], p. 291) to obtain an integral expression for $V(K^{n-i}, B^i)$.

$$\begin{aligned} V(K^{n-i}, B^i) &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} s_{n-i}(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-1}) d\omega \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} p_K s_{n-i-1}(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-1}) d\omega, \end{aligned}$$

where s_j is the normalized j^{th} elementary symmetric function in $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-1}$ (ie. $\binom{n-1}{j} s_j$ is the usual j^{th} elementary symmetric function).

2.2 Minkowski Subtraction

The proof of theorem 1.2 will also rely on the concept of Minkowski subtraction. Given convex bodies $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the *Minkowski difference* of K and L is

$$K \sim L = \{\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid L + \vec{v} \subset K\}.$$

We may think of $K \sim L$ as the intersection of all translates of K by opposites of vectors in L . If K and L are both convex, then $K \sim L$ is as well, but the operations of Minkowski sum and difference are not inverse to one another. Although $(K+L) \sim L = K$ holds for any convex bodies K and L , $(K \sim L) + L = K$ only when there exists a convex body M such that $L + M = K$. In this case we say that L is a Minkowski summand of K , and $(K \sim L) + L = M + L = K$.

Specializing to a situation relevant to the proof, when we know that cB is a Minkowski summand of K , we have that $(K \sim cB) + cB = K$ and it follows that $p_{K \sim cB} = p_K - c$. This allows us to compute $S_{K \sim cB}$ fairly easily using Equation (2).

We will make use of the following lemma appearing in [3] and [4], which gives a condition under which L is a Minkowski summand of K .

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ are convex. If the maximum of all the principal radii of curvature of L is bounded above by the minimum of the principal radii of curvature of K at each $\omega \in S^{n-1}$, then L is a Minkowski summand of K - i.e. there is a convex body M such that $L + M = K$.*

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We first establish the upper bound, which is the easier of the two. Since K is convex, each $\rho_i \geq 0$. We may assume that K is C_+^2 , (in other words the principal radii of curvature are all strictly positive and hence $\rho_{\min} > 0$) since otherwise there is nothing to prove. If $0 \leq c \leq \rho_{\min}$, then let $K' = K \sim cB$. cB is a Minkowski summand of K by Lemma 2.1, so

$$S_{K'}(t) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} (p_K - c + t) \det(\overline{H}(p_K) + (-c + t)I) d\omega = S_K(t - c).$$

The roots of $S_{K'}$ have real parts $r_i + c$, so the stability assumption implies $r_i + c < 0$, hence $r_i < -c$ for any $c \leq \rho_{\min}$. Letting $c = \rho_{\min}$ yields the claimed upper bound.

Turning to the lower bound, let $c \geq \rho_{\max}$. Then K is a Minkowski summand of cB and we write $K' = cB \sim K$. Writing p_K for the support function of K , we have $p_{K'} = c - p_K$. Expanding the Steiner polynomial of K' ,

$$\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} (-p_K + c + t) \det(\overline{H}(-p_K) + (c + t)I) d\omega,$$

in the case $n = 3$ we have $S_{K'} = -(V_K - A_K(c + t) + H_K(c + t)^2 - V_B(c + t)^3)$, and in general $S_{K'} = (-1)^n S_K(-t - c)$. The roots of $S_{K'}$ have real parts $-(r + c)$, so by stability $-r - c < 0$ and we conclude that $-c < r$. The lower bound follows by taking $c = \rho_{\max}$.

Corollary 3.1. *The real parts of the roots of S_K are bounded by $-\rho_{\min}$ and $-\rho_{\max}$ for any C^2 convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ where $n \leq 5$.*

Proof. It is known [5] that for $n \leq 5$, S_K is stable for every convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. This follows from the Routh-Hurwitz stability criterion and the Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequalities. $\square$

References

- [1] Mark Green and Stanley Osher. Steiner polynomials, Wulff flows, and some new isoperimetric inequalities for convex plane curves. *Asian J. Math.*, 3(3):659–676, 1999.
- [2] María A. Hernández Cifre and Martin Henk. Notes on the roots of steiner polynomials. 2007, [arXiv:math/0703373v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0703373v1).
- [3] G. Matheron. La formule de Steiner pour les érosions. *J. Appl. Probability*, 15(1):126–135, 1978.
- [4] Rolf Schneider. *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory*, volume 44 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [5] B. Teissier. Bonnesen-type inequalities in algebraic geometry. I. Introduction to the problem. In *Seminar on Differential Geometry*, volume 102 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, pages 85–105. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1982.